IBM Docket No. YOR920030279US1

COMBINED DECLARATION & POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: AGGREGATION OF DOCUMENT ELEMENTS INTO RUNTIME CODE.

The specification of which (check one)
☐ is attached hereto.
☒ was filed on October 14, 2003 as United States Application Serial No. or PCT International Application Number 10/684,552 and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability as defined in 37 Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attached hereto), including for continuation-in-part applications, material information which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 or § 365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

a. ☒ no such applications have been filed.
b. ☐ such applications have been filed as follows:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION NUMBER(S)	COUNTRY	FOREIGN FILING DATE (Day, Month, Year)	PRIORITY NOT CLAIMED	CERTIFIED COPY ATTACHED? YES	NO
			☐	☐	☐

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 or § 365 of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Richard M. Ludwin	Reg. No. 33,010	Derek S. Jennings	Reg. No. 41,473
Kevin M. Jordan	Reg. No. 40,277	Stephen C. Kaufman	Reg. No. 29,551
Christopher A. Hughes	Reg. No. 26,914	Daniel P. Morris	Reg. No. 32,053
John E. Hoel	Reg. No. 26,279	Alison D. Mortinger	Reg. No. 39,306
Joseph C. Redmond, Jr.	Reg. No. 18,753	Louis J. Percello	Reg. No. 33,206
Douglas W. Cameron	Reg. No. 31,596	Rafael Perez-Pineiro	Reg. No. 46,041
Wan Yee Cheung	Reg. No. 42,410	Robert M. Trepp	Reg. No. 25,933
Thu Ann Dang	Reg. No. 41,544	Gail H. Zarick	Reg. No. 43,303
Louis P. Herzberg	Reg. No. 41,500	Ido Tuchman	Reg. No. 45,924

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Ido Tuchman at the address and phone numbers indicated below:

Ido Tuchman
69-60 108th Street, Suite 503
Forest Hills, NY 11375
Tel. 718.544.1110
Fax 718.544.8588

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

First/Sole Inventor's Full Name:	Sophia Krasikov
Inventor's Signature:	Sophia Krasikov
Date:	10/29/03
Residence: (City, State and/or Country)	9 Orchard Lane Katonah, NY 10536
Citizenship:	USA
Mailing Address:	Same

Second Inventor's Full Name:	Robert L. Hoch
Inventor's Signature:	Robert L. Hoch
Date:	10/29/03
Residence: (City, State and/or Country)	71 Chicken Street Wilton, CT 06897
Citizenship:	USA
Mailing Address:	Same

PAGE 4/8 * RCVD AT 1/24/2004 12:31:40 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:718 544 8588 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-06

Third Inventor's Full Name:	John F. Morar
Inventor's Signature:	John F. Morar
Date:	10/29/03
Residence: (City, State and/or Country)	53 Hillside View Road Mahopac, NY 10541
Citizenship:	USA
Mailing Address:	Same

Fourth Inventor's Full Name:	Senthil Velayudham
Inventor's Signature:	V. Senthilvelan
Date:	10/29/03
Residence: (City, State and/or Country)	60 Kensico Road, Apt. 26 Thornwood, NY 10594
Citizenship:	India
Mailing Address:	Same

PAGE 5/8 * RCVD AT 1/24/2004 12:31:40 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:718 544 8588 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-06

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

(1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or

(2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:

(i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or

(ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

(1) Each inventor named in the application:

(2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and

(3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

PAGE 6/8 * RCVD AT 1/24/2004 12:31:40 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/0 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:718 544 8588 * DURATION (mm-ss):03-06